



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

HL

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/851,089	05/05/97	DARZINS	EBC97-06A

HM12/0628

CAROLYN S ELMORE
HAMILTON BROOK SMITH AND REYNOLDS
TWO MILITIA DRIVE
LEXINGTON MA 02173

EXAMINER
STOLE, E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1653	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/99 16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/851,089

Applicant(s)
Darzins et al.

Examiner
Einar Stole

Group Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Einar Stole (3) _____
(2) Edgar W. Harlan, Jr. (4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 24, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 76-78.

Identification of prior art discussed:
None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Amendment After Final rejection will be entered. Claims 2-53 are allowable. Claims 76-78 are subject to rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because the recitation of "stringent conditions" is indefinite without recitation of the "stringent conditions" in the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.